arXiv:1611.05474v1 [q-bio.BM] 13 Oct 2016

THE PATTERN RECOGNITION OF PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTIONS OF AMINO ACIDS IN PROTEIN FAMILIES

R.P. MONDAINI, S.C. DE ALBUQUERQUE NETO

Abstract. A Pattern Recognition of a Probability Distribution of amino acids is obtained for selected families of proteins. The mathematical model is derived from a theory of protein families formation which is derived from application of a Pauli's master equation method.

1. Introduction

The formation and evolution of a protein family is a problem of the same importance as that of protein folding and unfolding. We also think that the last problem will be solved or at least treated on a more general perspective, by concentrating the theoretical research on the joint formation and evolution of the whole set of proteins of each protein family. A probabilistic analysis of a model for protein family formation is then most welcome which is able to unveil the specific nature of this protein family formation process (PFFP) and the consequent folding/unfolding process. A pictorial representation of the PFFP to be seen as a game for the upsurge of life and its homeostasis can be introduced by thinking on **n** consecutive trials of **m** icosahedra, each face of them corresponding to a different amino acid. This sort of ideas has been already introduced on the scientific literature of the Entropy Maximization Principle [1, 2]. Our desiderata is then to translate the information contained on biological almanacs (protein databases) in terms of random variables in order to model a dynamics for describing the folding and unfolding of proteins, This means that we think on PFFP instead of the evolution of a single protein as the key to understand the protein dynamics.

In section 2, we introduce a description of the sample space of probability to be used in the calculations of the present contribution [3, 4]. We have also made a digression on generalized proposals of joint probabilities which should be used on future generalizations of the present work. In section 3, a Poisson statistical receipt is derived from applications of a Master Equation method in order to derive one adequate probability distribution of our PFFP problem [5, 6] in section 4. In section 5, we describe the distribution of amino acids in protein families and the pattern recognition through level curves of the probability distribution. We also determine the domain of the variables for the present probabilistic model. In section 6, we introduce a scheme in terms of graphical cartesian representations of level curves with selected protein families from the Pfam database [7, 8, 9, 10]. We also investigate the possibility of using this representation to justify the classification of protein families into clans. A final section of concluding remarks will comment

on the introduction of alternative candidates to usual probability distributions, like the use of joint probabilities and the study of Non-additive entropy measures. All these proposals which aim to improve the pattern recognition method will appear in forthcoming publications.

2. The organization of the sample space of probability

The fundamental idea of the Protein Family Formation Process as introduced in section 1, is of a dynamical game played by nature. The amino acids which are necessary to participate in the formation of a protein family are obtained from a "universal ribossome deposit" and the process will consist in the distribution on shelves of a bookshelf. In the first stage, **m** amino acids are distributed on **m** shelves of the first bookshelf. On a second stage, the **m** shelves of the second bookshelf should be fulfilled by the amino acids transferred from the first bookshelf and so on. After choosing a database, in order to implement all the future calculations with random variables, we select an array of **m** protein domains (rows). There are n_1 amino acids on the first column, n_2 in the second one, $\dots n_m$ in the m^{th} column. We then select the $(m \,\text{x}\, n)$ block where

$$n = \min(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m) \tag{1}$$

Another way of introducing a $(m \,\text{x}\, n)$ block is to specify the number **n** of columns a priori and to delete all proteins such that $n_r < n$, $r = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and to also delete the last $(n_r - n)$ amino acids on the remaining proteins. In fig.1 below we present an example of a $(m \,\text{x}\, n)$ block, which is organized according to this second basic procedure. There is at least one block associated to a protein family.



FIGURE 1. A block of $(m = 100) \,\text{x}\, (n = 200)$ amino acids from Pfam database.

Let $p_j(a)$ be the probability of occurrence of the amino acid and a = A, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, K, L, M, N, P, Q, R, S, T, V, W, Y in the j-th column,

$$p_j(a) = \frac{n_j(a)}{m} \tag{2}$$

where $n_j(a)$ is the number of occurrences of the a-amino acid in the j-th column of the $(m \,\mathrm{x}\, n)$ block.

We have

$$\sum_a n_j(a) = m\,, \quad \forall j \tag{3}$$

and

$$\sum_a p_j(a) = 1\,, \quad \forall j \tag{4}$$

The probabilities $p_j(a)$ will be considered as the components of a 20-component vector, $\overrightarrow{p_j} = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. These n vectors will be random variables w.r.t. the probability distribution already defined in eq.(2).

We can also introduce the probability distribution corresponding to the occurrence of amino acids a, b in columns j, k, respectively. We can write,

$$P_{jk}(a,b) = \frac{n_{jk}(a,b)}{m} \tag{5}$$

with $a, b =$ A, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, K, L, M, N, P, Q, R, S, T, V, W, Y.

This is the joint probability distribution and it can be understood in terms of the conditional probability $P_{jk}(a|b)$ as

$$P_{jk}(a,b) = P_{jk}(a|b)p_k(b) \tag{6}$$

From Bayes' law [11] we can write

$$P_{jk}(a,b) = P_{jk}(a|b)p_k(b) = P_{kj}(b|a)pj(a) = P_{kj}(b,a) \tag{7}$$

Analogously to eqs.(3),(4), we can write

$$\sum_a \sum_b n_{jk}(a,b) = m, \quad \forall j,k, \quad j < k \tag{8}$$

and

$$\sum_a \sum_b P_{jk}(a,b) = \sum_a p_j(a) = 1, \quad \forall j,k, \quad j < k \tag{9}$$

We can take on eq.(8), (9):

$$j = 1, 2, \ldots, (n-1), k = (j+1), (j+2), \ldots, n.$$

These random variables will be arranged as $\binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ square matrices of 20^{th} order.

A straightforward generalization to a multiplet of **s** amino acids, which occur on **s** ordered columns, can be done by introducing joint probabilities such as,

$$P_{j_1 j_2 \ldots j_s}(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s) = \frac{n_{j_1 j_2 \ldots j_s}(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s)}{m} \tag{10}$$

where

$$a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s = A, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, K, L, M, N, P, Q, R, S, T, V, W, Y \tag{11}$$

and

$$j_1 < j_2 < \ldots < j_s$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} j_1 &= 1, 2, \ldots, (n-s+1) \\ j_2 &= (j_1+1), (j_1+2), \ldots, (n-s+2) \\ &\vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad\qquad \vdots \\ j_s &= (j_{s-1}+1), (j_{s-1}+2), \ldots, n \end{aligned}$$

These random variables $P_{j_1 j_2 \ldots j_s}(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s)$ are $\binom{n}{s} = \frac{n!}{s!(n-s)!}$ objects of $(20)^s$ components each. Analogously to eqs.(8), (9), we can now write,

$$\sum_{a_1}\sum_{a_2}\cdots\sum_{a_s} n_{j_1 j_2 \ldots j_s}(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s) = m\,, \quad \forall j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_s \qquad j_1 < j_2 < \ldots < j_s \tag{12}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a_1}\sum_{a_2}\cdots\sum_{a_s} P_{j_1 j_2 \ldots j_s}(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_s) &= \ldots = \sum_{a_1}\sum_{a_2} P_{j_1 j_2}(a_1, a_2) \\ &= \sum_{a_1} p_{j_1}(a_1) = 1\,, \quad \forall j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_s\,,\ j_1 < j_2 < \ldots < j_s \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Eqs.(10)-(13) will be reserved for future developments. In the present work, we restrict all calculations to simple probabilities given by eq.(2)-(4).

3. The Master Equation for Probability Evolution

The temporal evolution of random variables such as the probabilities of occurrence introduced above can be modelled through a master equation approach [5, 6].

Let $p\left(n_j\big(t(a)\big)\right)$ be the probability of occurrences of the amino acids a in the j-th column of the $(m \,\mathrm{x}\, n)$ block at time $t(a)$. The probability of observing the same amino acid at the j-th column after an interval of time Δt is given by:

$$p\left(n_j\big(t(a)+\Delta t\big)\right) = \sigma\left(t(a)\right)\Delta t\; p\left(n_{j-1}\big(t(a)\big)\right) + \big(1+\sigma\big(t(a)\big)\big)\,\Delta t\; p\left(n_j\big(t(a)\big)\right) \tag{14}$$

where $\sigma\left(t(a)\right)$ is the transition probability per unit time between columns $j-1$ and j.

We now imagine that there is a column $j = 0$ – "the universal ribosome deposit" where all amino acids are present at time $t_0(a)$, as

$$p\left(n_0\big(t_0(a)\big)\right) = 1\,, \quad \forall a \tag{15}$$

This also means that at the initial time $t_0(a)$ no amino acid has been received by the columns $j \neq 0$ – the shelves of amino acid bookshelf (the protein family), as

$$p\left(n_j\big(t_0(a)\big)\right) = 0\,, \quad \forall j \neq 0\,, \quad \forall a \tag{16}$$

After taking the limit $\Delta t \to 0$ on eq.(14), we get:

$$\frac{\partial p\left(n_j\big(t(a)\big)\right)}{\partial t(a)} = \sigma\left(t(a)\right)\left(p\left(n_{j-1}\big(t(a)\big)\right) - p\left(n_j\big(t(a)\big)\right)\right)\,, \quad j \neq 0 \tag{17}$$

We also have, for $j = 0$,

$$\frac{\partial p\left(n_0\big(t(a)\big)\right)}{\partial t(a)} = -\sigma\left(t(a)\right)\, p\left(n_0\big(t(a)\big)\right) \tag{18}$$

From eqs.(15) and (18), we have,

$$p\left(n_0\big(t(a)\big)\right) = e^{-v\left(t(a)\right)} \tag{19}$$

where

$$v\big(t(a)\big) = \int_{t_0(a)}^{t(a)} \sigma\big(t'(a)\big)\mathrm{d}t'(a) \tag{20}$$

From eqs.(16) and (17) we can write for $j = 1$

$$p\left(n_1\big(t(a)\big)\right) = e^{-v(t(a))} v\left(t(a)\right) \tag{21}$$

We also have for $j = 2$,

$$p\left(n_2\big(t(a)\big)\right) = e^{-v(t(a))}\left(\int_{t_0(a)}^{t(a)} \frac{\mathrm{d}v\left(t'(a)\right)}{\mathrm{d}t'(a)} v\left(t'(a)\right)\mathrm{d}t'(a)\right) \tag{22}$$

From eqs.(15), (19), we have

$$v\left(t_0(a)\right) = 0 \tag{23}$$

Eq.(22) will turn into:

$$p\left(n_2\big(t(a)\big)\right) = e^{-v(t(a))}\frac{v^2\left(t(a)\right)}{2} \tag{24}$$

By finite induction on j, we can write the Poisson distribution:

$$p\left(n_j\big(t(a)\big)\right) = e^{-v(t(a))}\frac{v^j\left(t(a)\right)}{j!}\,, \quad \forall j\,, \quad \forall a \tag{25}$$

4. The Distribution of amino acids as a Marginal Probability Distribution

We now introduce the marginal probability distributions [11] associated to the Poisson process given by eq.(25). We have:

$$p_j\big(t(a)\big) = \int_{t_0(a)}^{t(a)} p\left(n_j\big(t'(a)\big)\right)\mathrm{d}t'(a) \tag{26}$$

From eqs.(25), (26), we can write:

$$p_j\big(t(a)\big) = \frac{(-1)^j}{j!}\lim_{\alpha\to 1}\frac{\partial^j}{\partial\alpha^j}\int_{t_0(a)}^{t(a)} e^{-\alpha v\left(t'(a)\right)}\mathrm{d}t'(a) \tag{27}$$

where α is an auxiliary parameter.

In the present work, we make the assumption that $\sigma\left(t(a)\right) \equiv \sigma(a)$, which leads to a linear approximation for $v\left(t(a)\right)$ through eq.(20), or,

$$v\left(t(a)\right) = \sigma(a)\left(t(a) - t_0(a)\right) \tag{28}$$

We then have from eqs.(27),(28),

$$p_j\big(t(a)\big) = \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{j!\sigma(a)}\lim_{\alpha\to 1}\frac{\partial^j}{\partial\alpha^j}\left(\frac{e^{-\alpha\sigma(a)(t(a)-t_0(a))}-1}{\alpha}\right) \tag{29}$$

Let us write now $t_j(a)$ as the time in which the a-amino acid is seen to occur at the j-th column of the $(m\,\mathrm{x}\,n)$ block.

We write,

$$t_j(a) = t_0(a) + j\Delta(a) \tag{30}$$

where $\Delta(a)$ is the time interval for the transition of the amino acid between consecutive columns,

$$\Delta(a) = t_j(a) - t_{j-1}(a)\,,\; j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \tag{31}$$

The marginal probability distribution function of eq.(26) should be considered as a two-variable distribution:

$$p_j\big(t(a)\big) \equiv p_j\big(\sigma_j(a), \Delta(a)\big) = \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{j!\,\sigma(a)}\lim_{\alpha\to 1} Q_j\big(\alpha\,;\sigma_j(a)\Delta(a)\big) \tag{32}$$

where

$$Q_j\big(\alpha\,;\sigma_j(a)\Delta(a)\big) = (-1)^j\,j!\left(e^{-\alpha j\,\sigma_j(a)\Delta(a)}\left(\alpha^{-(j+1)}\sum_{m=1}^{j}\frac{\left(j\,\sigma_j(a)\Delta(a)\right)^m\alpha^{-(j-m+1)}}{m!}\right)-1\right) \tag{33}$$

we can then write,

$$p_j\big(\sigma_j(a),\Delta(a)\big)=\frac{1}{\sigma_j(a)}\left(1-e^{-j\,\sigma_j(a)\Delta(a)}\sum_{m=1}^{j}\frac{\big(j\,\sigma_j(a)\Delta(a)\big)^m}{m!}\right) \tag{34}$$

Eq.(34) can be written in a more feasible form for future calculations such as

$$p_j\big(\sigma_j(a),\Delta(a)\big)=\frac{1}{\sigma_j(a)}\left(1-\frac{\Gamma\big(j+1,j\,\sigma_j(a)\Delta(a)\big)}{\Gamma(j+1)}\right) \tag{35}$$

where

$$\Gamma\big(j+1\big)=\int_0^\infty e^{-z}z^j\mathrm{d}z \tag{36}$$

is the Gamma function [12]. $\Gamma(j+1)=j!$ here, since j is an integer. And

$$\Gamma\big(j+1,j\,\sigma_j(a)\Delta(a)\big)=\int_0^{j\,\sigma_j(a)\Delta(a)} e^{-z}z^j\mathrm{d}z \tag{37}$$

is related to the Incomplete Gamma function [12].

One should note that the real representations of probability distribution functions should be given by the restriction $0\le p_j\big(\sigma(a),\Delta(a)\big)\le 1$ on the surfaces $p_j\big(\sigma(a),\Delta(a)\big)$. In fig.2 we present these surfaces for several j-values and the planes $p_j\big(\sigma(a),\Delta(a)\big)=1$ and $p_j\big(\sigma(a),\Delta(a)\big)=0.06$.



FIGURE 2. The surfaces $p_j\big(\sigma(a),\Delta(a)\big)$ for $j=1,6,26,27,35,200$ and the planes $p_j\big(\sigma(a),\Delta(a)\big)=1$, $p_j\big(\sigma(a),\Delta(a)\big)=0.06$.

5. The Domain of the variables $\sigma(a)$, $\Delta(a)$. The Pattern Recognition based on Level Curves

In the present section we set up the experimental scenario of the paper as well as the fundamental equations for the statistical treatment of data. We will gave on table 1 below an example of probability distribution of amino acid occurrence for the $a = \mathrm{A}$ amino acid of the Pfam family PF01051 on a $(100\,\mathrm{x}\,200)$ block. The set J of j-values $(j = 1, 2, \ldots, 200)$ is partitioned into subsets J_s of values j_s. The j_s-values belonging to a subset J_s do correspond to a unique constant value $M_{J_s}\big(\sigma_{j_s}(A), \Delta(A)\big)$ of the function $p_{j_s}\big(\sigma_{j_s}(A), \Delta(A)\big)$, as

$$p_{j_s}\big(\sigma_{j_s}(A), \Delta(A)\big) = M_{J_s}\big(\sigma_{j_s}(A), \Delta(A)\big) = \frac{n_{J_s}(A)}{100} \tag{38}$$

where $n_{J_s}(A)$ is the number of occurrences of the $a = \mathrm{A}$ amino acid on each subset J_s of the j_s-values. These values do correspond to the level curves of the surfaces $p_j\big(\sigma(a), \Delta(a)\big)$.

Table 1. The j_s values on each row of the second column belong to the subset J_s of values for defining a level curve of the surfaces $p_{j_s}\big(\sigma(a), \Delta(a)\big)$. Data obtained from a $(100\,\mathrm{x}\,200)$ block as a representative of the Pfam family PF01051.

$M_{J_s}\left(\sigma_{J_s}(A), \Delta(A)\right)$	J_s
0	17,18,57,179
1/100	2,3,12,38,79,88,97,111,120,157,166,178,180
2/100	5,36,82,92,125,148,172,173,175,176
3/100	7,41,45,46,48,58,81,100,105,119,124,133,136,147,150,151,155,161,162,165,171,187,197,199
4/100	4,8,23,24,25,60,61,73,74,75,78,80,83,84,86,89,90,91,99,107,112,113,123,126,127,129,131, 135,142,145,149,154,156,168,170,184,188,192,193,198
5/100	9,11,19,34,40,44,56,62,94,96,101,102,104,106,121,134,140,158,159,181,186,189
6/100	1,6,26,27,35,37,39,51,54,95,109,115,118,122,138,146,164,174,177,194,200
7/100	13,20,52,55,63,65,68,70,76,87,103,130,152,167,169,183,185,191
8/100	22,28,47,85,93,110,114,117,139,144,153,160,163,182,190,196
9/100	15,32,42,43,49,59,66,72,98,108,137
10/100	116,143
11/100	64,67,141
12/100	21,30,195
13/100	50,128,132
14/100	33
15/100	31,71
16/100	77
17/100	53
18/100	10,69
21/100	16
26/100	14
30/100	29

In figure 3, we represent the histogram corresponding to the probabilities of occurrence of the $a = \mathrm{A}$ amino acid which have been obtained from the family PF01051. This should be compared to figure 4 in which we advance the representation of the level curves of this amino acid distribution. It seems to exist a clear advantage of the last representation over the histogram and we will intend to represent in the same form the distribution of other amino acids from selected families.



FIGURE 3. The histogram of the distribution corresponding to Table 1. Amino acid A, Pfam family PF01051.

We now undertake a detailed analysis which led to the pictorial representation of fig.4 in order to determine the most convenient domain for the variables $\sigma(a)$, $\Delta(a)$.



FIGURE 4. The level curves corresponding to the distribution given in Table 1 and Fig 3. Amino acid A, Pfam family PF01051.

First of all, we note that the usual analysis of extrema of the surfaces given by eq.(35) is inconclusive, since from the equations:

$$\frac{\partial p_j\big(\sigma_j(a),\Delta(a)\big)}{\partial \sigma_j(a)} = 0 \; ; \quad \frac{\partial p_j\big(\sigma_j(a),\Delta(a)\big)}{\partial \Delta(a)} = 0 \tag{39}$$

we get as the only one solution the point $\big(\sigma(a),\Delta(a)\big) = (0,0)$ and we have:

$$\mathrm{Hess}(0,0) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \left.\frac{\partial^2 p_j\left(\sigma_j(a),\Delta(a)\right)}{\partial^2 \sigma_j(a)}\right|_{(0,0)} & \left.\frac{\partial^2 p_j\left(\sigma_j(a),\Delta(a)\right)}{\partial \sigma_j(a)\partial\Delta(a)}\right|_{(0,0)} \\ \left.\frac{\partial^2 p_j\left(\sigma_j(a),\Delta(a)\right)}{\partial \sigma_j(a)\partial\Delta(a)}\right|_{(0,0)} & \left.\frac{\partial^2 p_j\left(\sigma_j(a),\Delta(a)\right)}{\partial^2\Delta(a)}\right|_{(0,0)} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \tag{40}$$

We then proceed to an alternative analysis in order to characterize the 2-dimensional domain of variables $\sigma(a)$, $\Delta(a)$ for the modelling process. This is based on the study of the intersection of the $p_j\big(\sigma(a),\Delta(a)\big)$ surfaces by horizontal and vertical planes.

The horizontal planes $h_j(a)$ will determine the level curves which are given by:

$$p_j\big(\sigma_j(a),\Delta(a)\big) = M_j(a) = \tfrac{1}{\sigma_j(a)}\left(1 - \frac{\Gamma\big(j+1, j\,\sigma_j(a)\Delta(a)\big)}{\Gamma(j+1)}\right) \;\forall a\,,\; 1 \le j \le n \quad (41)$$

where $M_j(a)$ corresponds to a characteristic constant value associated to the subset of j-values of the specific amino acid according to the example given in Table 1.

From eq.(41), we can write:

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma_j(a)\frac{\partial p_j\big(\sigma_j(a),\Delta(a)\big)}{\partial \sigma_j(a)} + \mathrm{d}\Delta(a)\frac{\partial p_j\big(\sigma_j(a),\Delta(a)\big)}{\partial \Delta(a)} = 0 \quad (42)$$

The local minimum points $\big(\sigma_{j\ \min}(a), \Delta_{\min}(a)\big)$ of the level curves are obtained from eq.(41) and from

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Delta(a)}{\mathrm{d}\sigma_j(a)} = 0 = \sigma_j(a)\left(\Gamma(j+1) - \big(j\,\Delta(a)\big)^{j+1}\big(\sigma_j(a)\big)^j e^{-j\,\sigma_j(a)\Delta(a)}\right) \quad (43)$$

We now consider the vertical planes $V_j(a)$, associated to a generic value $\delta_j(a)$. On each vertical plane, there is a vertical curve such that its local maximum is given by $\big(\sigma_{j\ \max}(a), \delta_{j\ \max}(a)\big)$.

These vertical curves are given by

$$p_j\big(\sigma_j(a),\delta_j(a)\big) = \frac{1}{\sigma_j(a)}\left(1 - \frac{\Gamma\big(j+1, j\,\sigma_j(a)\delta_j(a)\big)}{\Gamma(j+1)}\right) \quad (44)$$

and their local maxima can be obtained from eq.(44), and from

$$\tfrac{\partial p_j\left(\sigma_j(a),\Delta(a)\right)}{\partial \sigma_j(a)} = 0 = -\tfrac{1}{\sigma_j^2(a)}\left(1 - \tfrac{\Gamma\left(j+1, j\,\sigma_j(a)\Delta_j(a)\right) + \left(j\,\sigma_j(a)\delta_j(a)\right)^{j+1} e^{-j\,\sigma_j(a)\delta_j(a)}}{\Gamma(j+1)}\right) \quad (45)$$

From eqs.(41), (43)-(45), we can write:

$$M_j(a) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{j_{\min}}(a)}\left(1 - \frac{\Gamma\big(j+1, j\,\sigma_{j_{\min}}(a)\Delta_{\min}(a)\big)}{\Gamma(j+1)}\right) \quad (46)$$

$$\Gamma(j+1) - \big(j\,\Delta_{\min}(a)\big)^{j+1}\big(\sigma_{j_{\min}}(a)\big)^j e^{-j\,\sigma_{j_{\min}}(a)\Delta_{\min}(a)} = 0 \quad (47)$$

$$p_j(a) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{j_{\max}}(a)}\left(1 - \frac{\Gamma\big(j+1, j\,\sigma_{j_{\max}}(a)\delta_{j_{\max}}(a)\big)}{\Gamma(j+1)}\right) \quad (48)$$

$$\Gamma(j+1)p_j(a) - \big(j\,\delta_{j_{\max}}(a)\big)^{j+1}\big(\sigma_{j_{\max}}(a)\big)^j e^{-j\,\sigma_{j_{\max}}(a)\Delta_{\max}(a)} = 0 \quad (49)$$

Some remarks should be done before we proceed to determine the points $\left(\sigma_{j_{\min}}(a)\Delta_{\min}(a)\right)$, $\left(\sigma_{j_{\max}}(a)\delta_{j_{\max}}(a)\right)$ from eqs.(46)-(49). From eq.(45) we see that the product $\sigma(a)\Delta(a)$ does not depend on the amino acid **a**, or

$$\sigma_j(a)\delta_j(a) = f(j)\,, \quad \forall(a) \tag{50}$$

Let us suppose the ordering of $\Delta_j(a)$ values for different amino acids but at the same j-th column of the $(m\,\mathrm{x}\,n)$ block,

$$\delta_j(a) \geq \delta_j(b) \geq \delta_j(c) \tag{51}$$

We then have from eq.(50)

$$\sigma_j(a) \leq \sigma_j(b) \leq \sigma_j(c) \tag{52}$$

An example of level curves corresponding to these inequalities can be seen at fig.5.

Let us also suppose that there is an amino acid $\mathbf{a}'$ such that for two columns j_1, j_2 we have:

$$\delta_{j_1}(a') \geq \delta_j(a) \geq \delta_{j_2}(a')\,, \quad j_1 \leq j \leq j_2 \tag{53}$$

From eq.(50), we can also write:

$$\sigma_{j_1}(a') \leq \sigma_j(a) \leq \sigma_{j_2}(a')\,, \quad j_1 \leq j \leq j_2 \tag{54}$$



FIGURE 5. Three level curves corresponding to the $j = 31$ value and the amino acids $a = A$, $b = C$, $c = D$ of the $100\,\mathrm{x}\,200$ block of the PF01051 family.

We can take $j_2 = j_{\max} = 200$ and $j_1 = j_{\min} = 1$ in the case of a $100\,\mathrm{x}\,200$ block. In fig.6 an example of the level curves for $j = 55$ and the amino acids $a' = K$, $a = L$ from PF01051 family.



FIGURE 6. Three level curves corresponding to the $j_1 = 1$, $j_2 = 200$, $j = 55$, $a' = K$, $a = L$.

We can then look for the two-dimensional domain of the process leading to pattern recognition. We look for j_1, j_2, j_3 such that

$$\sigma_{j_1\ \min}(a) \geq \sigma(a) \geq \sigma_{j_2\ \max}(a) \tag{55}$$

and

$$\delta_{j_3\ \max}(a) \geq \Delta(a) \geq \Delta_{\min}(a) \tag{56}$$

We are now ready to proceed to the determination of the values $\sigma_{j_{\min}}(a)$, $\Delta_{\min}(a)$, $\sigma_{j_{\max}}(a)$, $\delta_{j_{\max}}(a)$ from eqs.(46)-(49).

First of all we note that eq.(47) can be also written as:

$$-\tfrac{j}{j+1}\sigma_{j_{\min}}(a)\Delta_{\min}(a)e^{\frac{-j\,\sigma_{j_{\min}}(a)\Delta_{\min}}{j+1}} = -\tfrac{\sigma_{j_{\min}}(a)}{j+1}\left(\Gamma(j+1)\sigma^{-j}_{j_{\min}}(a)\right)^{\frac{1}{j+1}} \tag{57}$$

and this should be compared to

$$W(z)e^{W(z)} = z \tag{58}$$

where $W(z)$ is the Lambert W Non-injective function [13]. We then have:

$$\Delta_{\min}(a) = -\frac{(j+1)}{j\,\sigma_{j_{\min}}(a)}W\left(-\frac{\sigma_{j_{\min}}(a)}{j+1}\big(\Gamma(j+1)\sigma^{-j}_{j_{\min}}(a)\big)^{\frac{1}{j+1}}\right) \tag{59}$$

Analogously, we can write from eq.(49):

$$\delta_{j\ \max}(a) = -\frac{(j+1)}{j\,\sigma_{j\ \max}(a)} W\left(-\frac{\sigma_{j\ \max}(a)}{j+1}\left(\Gamma(j+1)p_j(a)\sigma^{-j}_{j\ \max}(a)\right)^{\frac{1}{j+1}}\right) \tag{60}$$

The self-consistency of the system of equations (46), (59), (48), (60), will be proved in the following way:
If we assume that $M_j(a)$ is given, we will get $\sigma_{j\ \min}(a)$ from eqs.(46) and (59). Eq.(59) will then give $\Delta_{\min}(a)$. If we assume that $p_j(a)$ is given we will get $\sigma_{j\ \max}(a)$ from eqs.(48) and (60). We will then get $\delta_{j\ \max}(a)$ from eq.(60).

A special case is able to motivate the understanding of the developments above. We consider $\delta_{j\ \max}(a) = \Delta_{\min}(a)$ and $\sigma_{j\ \max}(a) = \sigma_{j\ \min}(a)$. This can be seen at fig.7 below. The corresponding vertical and horizontal curves will meet at point P.



FIGURE 7. Sketch of the level and vertical curves corresponding to the case $\sigma_{j\ \max}(a) = \sigma_{j\ \min}(a)$ and $\delta_{j\ \max}(a) = \Delta_{\min}(a)$ for a generic amino acid a and a j-value $1 \leq j \leq n$. $h_j(a)$ and $V_j(a)$ are horizontal and vertical planes, respectively.

The intersection of the surface $p_j\big(\sigma_j(a), \Delta(a)\big)$, eq.(46) with the plane $M_n = 1$, will lead to

$$1 - \sigma_{n\ \min}(a) = \frac{\Gamma\big(n+1, n\,\sigma_{n\ \min}(a)\Delta_{\min}(a)\big)}{\Gamma(j+1)} \tag{61}$$

We can write from eqs.(61) and (34), if $n \gg 1$

$$1 \approx \frac{1}{\sigma_{n\ \min}(a)} \tag{62}$$

By applying the Stirling approximation as given by

$$n! \approx e^{-n}(n)^n\,, \quad n \gg 1 \tag{63}$$

We get from eqs.(47) and (62):

$$e^{-n}(n)^n - e^{-n\,\Delta_{\min}(a)}\big(n\,\Delta_{\min}(a)\big)^{n+1} \approx 0 \tag{64}$$

For $n = 200$, we can write from eqs.(62), (64):

$$\sigma_{n\ \min}(a) \approx 1.0000\,; \quad \Delta_{\min}(a) \approx 1.2538 \tag{65}$$

The intersection of the surface $p_j\big(\sigma_j(a), \delta_j(a)\big)$, eq.(48), with the plane $M_1 = 1$ will lead to

$$1 - \sigma_{j\ \max(a)} = \Gamma\big(2, \sigma_{1\ \max}(a)\delta_{1\ \max}\big) \tag{66}$$

From eq.(34), the last equation can be also written:

$$-\big(1 + \sigma_{1\ \max}(a)\delta_{1\ \max}(a)\big)e^{-\left(1+\sigma_{1\ \max}(a)\delta_{1\ \max}(a)\right)} = -\big(1 - \sigma_{1\ \max}(a)\big)e^{-1} \tag{67}$$

After comparison of eq.(67) with eq.(58), we write,

$$\delta_{1\ \max}(a) = -\frac{1}{\sigma_{1\ \max}}\left(1 + W\Big(\big(\sigma_{1\ \max}(a) - 1\big)e^{-1}\Big)\right) \tag{68}$$

We also have from eq.(60),

$$\delta_{1\ \max}(a) = -\frac{2}{\sigma_{1\ \max}} W\left(\pm\frac{\big(\sigma_{1\ \max}(a)\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}\right) \tag{69}$$

We then get from eqs.(68), (67):

$$\sigma_{1\ \max}(a) = 0.5352\,; \quad \delta_{1\ \max}(a) = 3.3509 \tag{70}$$

By using the results of eqs.(65), (70) into eqs.(55), (56), we should have $j_1 = n$, $j_2 = 1$, $j_3 = 1$ and we write for the domain of the variables $\sigma(a)$, $\Delta(a)$

$$1.0000 \geq \sigma(a) \geq 0.5352\,; \quad 3.3509 \geq \Delta(a) \geq 1.2538 \tag{71}$$

6. Final Numerical Calculations and Graphical Representation

In this section we show the proposed graphical representation of the probability occurrences of all amino acids from some selected protein families. These representations do correspond to the level curves of the surface of probability distribution, eq.(39) and an example has been already presented on fig.4 of a cartesian representation for the $a = \mathrm{A}$ amino acid of family PF01051. All the necessary essential steps have been derived in the previous sections and we stress once more that the cartesian representations of the level curves is based on the partition of the j-values $(1 \leq j \leq n)$ into subsets J_s. Each j-values belonging to a J_s subset is then associated to a constant value $M_{j_s}\big(\sigma(a), \Delta(a)\big)$ of the probability distribution function, according to eq.(38).

In order to emphasize the usefulness and efficiency of the cartesian representation of level curves, we shall make a comparison of probability occurrences in terms of histograms, figs.8, 9, 10 and level curves, figs.11, 12, 13 for the families PF01051, PF03399, which belong to the same Clan CL00123 and PF00060 belonging to the Clan CL00030. We have chosen for representation of four rows and five columns corresponding to table 2 below. The one-letter code for amino acids are ordered by decreasing hydrophobicities [14], with cells to be read from top left to bottom right, at pH2.

Table 2. The representation scheme of amino acids ordered according to decreasing hydrophobicities, top left to bottom right, at pH2.

$A_{00} = L$	$A_{01} = I$	$A_{02} = F$	$A_{03} = W$	$A_{04} = V$
$A_{10} = M$	$A_{11} = C$	$A_{12} = Y$	$A_{13} = A$	$A_{14} = T$
$A_{20} = E$	$A_{21} = G$	$A_{22} = S$	$A_{23} = Q$	$A_{24} = D$
$A_{30} = R$	$A_{31} = K$	$A_{23} = N$	$A_{33} = H$	$A_{34} = P$

In order to introduce the cartesian representation of the level curves, we restrict the limits of the variables $\sigma(a)$, $\Delta(a)$ of eq.(72) to each cell of the array given in table 2. A generic element A_{kl}, $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$, $l = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ is associated to the limits:

$$\varphi \frac{\sigma_{1\ \max}(a)}{\sigma_{n\ \min}(a) - \sigma_{1\ \max}(a)} + l \leq \frac{\sigma(a)}{\sigma_{n\ \min}(a) - \sigma_{1\ \max}(a)} \leq \varphi \frac{\sigma_{1\ \max}(a)}{\sigma_{n\ \min}(a) - \sigma_{1\ \max}(a)} + (l+1) \quad (72)$$

$$\varphi \frac{\delta_{1\ \max}(a)}{\delta_{1\ \max}(a) - \Delta_{\min}(a)} + (3-k) \leq \frac{\Delta(a)}{\delta_{1\ \max}(a) - \Delta_{\min}(a)} \leq \varphi \frac{\delta_{1\ \max}(a)}{\delta_{1\ \max}(a) - \Delta_{\min}(a)} + (4-k) \quad (73)$$

where $\sigma_{1\ \max}(a)$, $\sigma_{n\ \min}(a)$, $\delta_{1\ \max}(a)$, $\Delta_{\min}(a)$ are given into eqs.(65) and (71) and φ is an arbitrary non-dimensional parameter to be chosen as $\varphi = 0.2$ for obtaining a convenient cartesian representation.



FIGURE 8. Histograms of the probability occurrences of amino acids for the Pfam protein family PF01051 from Clan CL00123.



FIGURE 9. Histograms of the probability occurrences of amino acids for the Pfam protein family PF03399 from Clan CL00123.



FIGURE 10. Histograms of the probability occurrences of amino acids for the Pfam protein family PF00060 from Clan CL00030.



FIGURE 11. The level curves of the probability occurrences of amino acids for the Pfam protein family PF01051 from Clan CL00123.



FIGURE 12. The level curves of the probability occurrences of amino acids for the Pfam protein family PF03399 from Clan CL00123.



FIGURE 13. The level curves of the probability occurrences of amino acids for the Pfam protein family PF00060 from Clan CL00030.

The level curves corresponding to the values of $M_{j_s}\big(\sigma(a),\Delta(a)\big) = \frac{n_{J_s}(a)}{100}$ (the height of the planes) which intersect the surfaces $p_j\big(\sigma(a),\Delta(a)\big)$ should be written for each A_{kl} cell as:

$$M_{j_s kl}\big(\sigma(a),\Delta(a)\big) = \frac{1}{\sigma(a)-l\big(\sigma_{n_{\min}}(a)-\sigma_{1_{\max}}(a)\big)}\left(1-e^{j\big(\sigma(a)-l\big(\sigma_{n_{\min}}(a)-\sigma_{1_{\max}}(a)\big)\big)\big(\Delta(a)-(3-k)(\delta_{1_{\max}}(a)-\Delta_{\min}(a))\big)}\right.$$
$$\left.\cdot\sum_{m=0}^{j}\frac{\Big(j\big(\sigma(a)-l\big(\sigma_{n_{\min}}(a)-\sigma_{1_{\max}}(a)\big)\big)\big(\Delta(a)-(3-k)\big(\delta_{1_{\max}}(a)-\Delta_{\min}(a)\big)\big)\Big)^{m}}{m!}\right) \quad (74)$$

where we have used eq.(34).

7. Concluding Remarks

In the present work we have introduced the idea of protein family formation process (PFFP) and we now stress that the evolution of an "orphan" protein is not a special case of this process [15]. We then consider that proteins do not evolute independently. Their evolution is a collective evolution of all their "relatives" grouped into families. In order to derive a model of probability occurrence of amino acids, we have started from a master equation and we have made a very simple assumption such as that of eq.(25). We expected to obtain a good method for identifying the Clan association of protein families [4] in terms of level curves of the probability distributions of amino acids. The examples given into section 6, eqs.(11), (12), (13), in despite of their advantage over any conclusion derived from the usual analysis of histograms of figs.8, 9, 10, do not seem to lead to an unequivocal conclusion about Clan formation. We then propose the following research lines for future development:

(1) The consideration of other families and clans into the analysis reported in section 6.
(2) The introduction of other assumptions for deriving the probability distributions. The Saddle Point Approximation seems to be a most convenient one.
(3) The consideration of models of distributions based on the joint probabilities of section 2.
(4) The introduction of generalized Entropy Measures as the selected functions of random variables instead of studying the elementary probabilities distributions of section 2.

Some reports on recent results related to these proposals are now in preparations and will be published elsewhere.

References

[1] E.T. Jaynes – Probability Theory - The Logic of Science - Cambridge University Press, 2003.

[2] J. Harte – From Spatial Pattern in the Distribution and Abundance of Species to a Unified Theory of Ecology. The Role of Maximum Entropy Methods - Applied Optimization, vol.102, Mathematical Modelling of Biosystems (2008) 243-272, Springer-Verlag, R.P. Mondaini, P.M. Pardalos (eds.).

[3] R.P. Mondaini – Entropy Measures based Method for the Classification of Protein Domains into Families and Clans - BIOMAT 2013 (2014) 209-218.

[4] R.P. Mondaini, S.C. de Albuquerque Neto – Entropy Measures and the Statistical Analysis of Protein Family Classification - BIOMAT 2015 (2016) 193-210.

[5] N.G. Van Kampen – Stochastic Process in Physics and Chemistry, Elsevier B. V. 2007, 3^{rd} edition.

[6] W. Bialek – Biophysics - Searching for Principles, Princeton University Press, 2012.

[7] R.D. Finn et al. – Pfam: Clans, web tools and services - Nucleic Acids Research, 34 (2006) D247-D251.

[8] M. Punta et al. – The Pfam Protein Families database - Nucleic Acids Research, 40 (2012) D290-D301.

[9] R.D. Finn et al. – The Pfam Protein Families database - Nucleic Acids Research, 42 (2014) D222-D230.

[10] R.D. Finn et al. – The Pfam Protein Families database - Nucleic Acids Research, 44 (2016) D279-D285.

[11] M.H. DeGroot, M.J. Schervish - Probability and Statistics, 4^{th} edition, Addison-Wesley, 2012.

[12] M. Abramowitz, J.A. Stegun – Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Dover Publ., N. York, 9^{th} printing, 1972.

[13] D. Veberič – Having Fun with Lambert W function - arχiv: 1003.1628 v1, 8 Mar 2010.

[14] T.J. Sereda et al. – Reversed-phase chromatography of synthetic amphipathic α-helical peptides as a model for ligand/receptor interactions. Effect of changing hydrophobic environment on the relative hydrophilicity/hydrophobicity of amino acid side-chains - J. Chromatogr. A 676 (1994) 139-153

[15] R. P. Mondaini, S. C. de Albuquerque Neto – The Pattern Recognition of Probability Distributions of Amino Acids in Protein Families. The Saddle Point approximation (2016) in preparation

Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Centre of Technology, COPPE, Rio de Janeiro - RJ, Brazil

E-mail address, R. Mondaini: Rubem.Mondaini@ufrj.br